

Manuscript #:

Reviewer #:

Date Due:

Editor:

E-mail:

Anonymous Peer Review Form

Advice to the Editor (will not be sent to the author)

	1	2	3	4	5
Category	Unacceptable	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Contribution to Existing Knowledge					
Organization and Readability					
Soundness of Methodologies					
Evidence Supports Conclusions					
Adequacy of Literature Review					
Use of Figures and Tables					

Overall Evaluation

ACCEPT: The manuscript warrants publication in *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*. It is well conceived and executed, and is a solid contribution to the science, natural history, and/or conservation of amphibians and reptiles.

ACCEPT WITH MINOR REVISIONS: The manuscript should be accepted after minor revisions are made, as noted in the comments. It will be a sound contribution. Comments must be sufficient for the author to respond to the reviewer's concerns.

ACCEPT WITH MAJOR REVISIONS: The manuscript should be accepted after major revisions are made, as noted in the comments. Comments must be detailed enough for the author to respond to the reviewer's concerns.

REVISE AND RESUBMIT: The manuscript does not warrant publication in its current form, but it may warrant publication after implementation of the suggested revisions. Comments must be sufficient for the author to revise the manuscript. The resubmitted manuscript will be sent to the original or new reviewers.

REJECT: The manuscript does not warrant publication. Provide reason, such as suitability for *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*, content, and/or writing in the comments section on the next page.

Comments to Editor:

Comments to Author: