SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

IMPROVING AUTOMATED DETECTION OF FROG CALLS IN NOISY URBAN HABITATS USING NARROW-BANDED RECOGNIZERS

NOLAN BIELINSKI, JENNIFER PAJDA-DE LA O, ADRIANNA GORNIAK, AND DAVID WISE

TABLE S1. Information about study sites included in this publication.

Site ID	Preserve Name	Site Name	Ownership	Coordinate N	Coordinate W
A	Cherry Hill Woods	Main Pond	Forest Preserve District of Cook County	41.673652	-87.872842
B	Wolf Road Woods	Tomahawk Slough	Forest Preserve District of Cook County	41.704825	-87.899831
C	Private Property, Palos Hills	Back Pond	Private	41.659466	-87.828008
D	Private Property, Palos Hills	Front Pond	Private	41.658462	-87.828061
E	Van Patten Woods	East Pond	Lake County Forest Preserves District	42.474803	-87.929576



FIGURE S1. Relative noise level (Vp-p) for true positive (TP), false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) samples from Green Frog (*Lithobates clamitans*) recognizers for each site. Vertical lines are 95% confidence intervals. There are no striking general patterns between classifications. Across recognizers, 2-High or 3-Medium show the lowest noise level scores.



FIGURE S2. Relative noise level (Vp-p) for true positive (TP), false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) samples from American Bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) recognizers for each site. Vertical lines are 95% confidence intervals. There are no striking general patterns between classifications. Across recognizers, 6-High or 7-Medium show the lowest noise level scores.