MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATION IN THE MEDITERRANEAN HOUSE GECKO (GEKKONIDAE: *Hemidactylus turcicus*) Along GEOGRAPHICAL GRADIENTS IN THE SOUTHEASTERN UNITED STATES

MICHAEL C. GRANATOSKY¹ AND KENNETH L. KRYSKO²

¹Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA, e-mail: michael.granatosky@duke.edu ²Division of Herpetology, Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville,

Florida 32611, USA, e-mail: kenneyk@ufl.edu

Abstract.—Sexual size dimorphism (SSD) is present in many herpetofaunal species. Trends in SSD across latitudinal gradients have not been examined in most non-native herpetofaunal species in the United States, which can have important implications for establishing range limitations of exotic herpetofauna. This study examined SSD in the Mediterranean House Gecko (*Hemidactylus turcicus*) in the southeastern United States, and whether patterns of morphological variation change along a longitudinal or latitudinal gradient. We compared SSD in body and head dimensions first between males and females across the entire sampled range followed by a regression analysis to determine whether there is morphological variation influenced by latitudinal or longitudinal trends. Our results support male-dominated SSD in head size and body length, but show no correlation between SSD and latitudinal range. There does appear to be some evidence supporting morphological trends based on longitude, but these findings should be interpreted with caution. Results from this study indicate that morphological variation in *H. turcicus* is largely homogeneous across its introduced range.

Key Words.-Bergmann's rule; exotics; Gekkonidae; invasive species; sexual-size dimorphism

INTRODUCTION

With respect to amphibians and reptiles, it seems that many aspects of their biology are limited by their ability to maintain normal physiological functions (Bullock 1955; Fry 1967; Davies et al. 1981). As poikilotherms, metabolic activity is highly influenced by external environmental conditions (Bullock 1955; Newell 1966; Fry 1967; Hazel and Prosser 1974), and numerous studies have demonstrated that variability in ecological circumstances have direct effects on the phenotypic expression of certain traits (Ford and Seigel 1989; Queral-Regil and King 1998; Losos et al. 2000; Wapstra 2000; Sears and Angilletta 2004). Consistent across these studies is that as environmental conditions become more unpredictable (e.g., greater temperature variation or lower prey availability) certain phenotypic traits appear to be sub-optimal (e.g., lower clutch mass, fewer offspring; Ford and Seigel 1989; Seigel and Ford 1991), and decreased body mass sexual-size dimorphism (SSD; Madsen and Shine 1993; McGarrity and Johnson 2009) compared to conspecifics living in more stable conditions (Rhen and Lang 1995; Queral-Regil and King 1998; Seebacher 2005; Du 2006).

A commonly studied example of environmental conditions effects on the phenotype of an animal is the change of body size based on latitudinal gradients (Ashton et al. 2000; Ashton 2002; Ashton and Feldman

2003; Meiri and Davan 2003; Sears and Angilletta 2004). This pattern is referred to as Bergmann's scaling rule, in which body mass increases as temperature decreases across a latitudinal gradient (Bergmann 1847). Typically, these patterns are explained as an evolutionary response to minimize heat loss in colder climates (Bergmann 1847; Walters and Hassall 2006; Olalla-Tárraga and Rodríguez 2007). While this pattern is fairly well established in endotherms (Ashton et al. 2000: Ashton 2002: Meiri and Davan 2003: Blackburn and Hawkins 2004), data on ectotherms is more variable (Mousseau 1997; Ashton et al. 2000; Angilletta et al. 2004; Olalla-Tárraga et al. 2006; Olalla-Tárraga and Rodríguez 2007). Among non-archosaur reptiles, chelonians appear to follow Bergmann's rule, but in general the inverse to Bergmann's rule is observed in squamates (Ashton and Feldman 2003; Olalla-Tárraga and Rodríguez 2007). Data on amphibians are more ambiguous (Olalla-Tárraga and Rodríguez 2007; Adams and Church 2008; McGarrity and Johnson 2009).

Recently, McGarrity and Johnson (2009) explored phenotypic variability in SSD in the introduced Cuban Treefrog (*Osteopilus septentrionalis*) across latitudinal gradients in its introduced range in Florida. Sexual-size dimorphism in *O. septentrionalis* is female-dominated (Meshaka 2001; Vargas-Salinas 2006), a pattern consistent across most anurans (Shine 1979; Howard



FIGURE 1. Sample localities of the non-native Mediterranean House Gecko (Hemidactylus turcicus) in the southeastern United States.

1981; Monnet and Cherry 2002). In O. septentrionalis sexual selection is not implicated as a cause of SSD (Vargas-Salinas 2006). McGarrity and Johnson (2009) observed that SSD decreased at higher latitudes across the state and hypothesized that the differences observed were a result of physiological stress due to more environmental conditions stochastic in northern latitudes. Currently, studies using introduced amphibians and reptiles as a means for testing ecological trends in morphological variation have been limited, and therefore this study seeks to take advantage of another introduced species, the Mediterranean House Gecko (Hemidactylus turcicus), as a model to determine larger trends of the effects of varying environmental conditions on phenotypic expression of non-indigenous species.

Hemidactylus turcicus is an introduced lizard native to the Middle East and Asia that has become well established in the extreme southeastern United States over the last century (Fowler 1915; Smith and Kohler 1977; Knight 1993; Kraus 2008). Like many squamate species, *H. turcicus* displays male-biased SSD in head size, which is thought to be attributed to sexual selection or resource defense where males with larger heads are more successful during intrasexual encounters (Saenz and Conner 1996; Smith et al. 1997; Olsson et al. 2002; Johnson et al. 2005; Gifford and Powell 2007). Recent work by Johnson et al. (2005) suggested that malebiased head dimensions are a result of continued somatic

growth after post-reproductive age. Continued postreproductive growth likely represents a metabolically costly process that may be potentially limited in environments with unfavorable conditions. The combination of an extensive introduced geographic range covering a broad spectrum of latitudinal gradients, and the physiologically demanding post-reproductive somatic growth in males make *H. turcicus* a good model for exploring both larger trends in Bergmann's scaling patterns, and whether climatic variability (as represented by latitude in this study) influence SSD in herpetofauna.

In this study, we explore variation in SSD in *H. turcicus* across a broad latitudinal and longitudinal gradient to determine environmental effects on morphological variation. We hypothesize that environmental conditions at higher latitudes place a metabolic constraint on post-reproductive growth and male-biased SSD and overall body size will be lower at higher latitudes. We expect to find no indication of morphological variation along a longitudinal gradient due to relatively more stable environmental conditions compared to latitudinal gradients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We examined preserved specimens (n = 186; 69 males, 117 females) of *H. turcicus* from the Florida

Sex	SVL	HL	HW	HD
Male	51.53 ± 2.91	14.97 ± 0.95	10.36 ± 0.75	6.19 ± 0.54
	50.03 + 3.43	14.32 ± 1.03	9 50 ± 0.80	5.84 ± 0.64

TABLE 1. Mean (\pm SD) snout-vent length (SVL), head length (HL), head width (HW), and head depth (HD) in mm of male and female Mediterranean House Geckos, *Hemidactylus turcicus*, from the southeastern United States.

Museum of Natural History and the North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences (Appendix 1). We measured only adults (\geq 44 mm snout-vent-length [SVL]; Selcer 1986; Saenz and Conner 1996; Johnson and McBrayer 2005) because it is difficult to differentiate between males and females at smaller sizes without dissection and destructive sampling and differences in SSD in head shape do not appear until after maturity (Johnson et al. 2005). Males were differentiated from females based on the presence of well developed pre-cloacal pores. We measured head length (HL; from the base of the quadrate to the tip of the premaxilla), head depth (HD; at the deepest part of the head), head width (HW; from the widest part of the head around the quadrate), and SVL on each specimen using Tresna Instrument IP 67 Digital Calipers (Guilin Guanglu Measuring Instrument Co., Guangxi Province, China; ± 0.03 mm).

Our sample represents both a broad latitudinal $(24^{\circ}33'21"N-35^{\circ}57'40"N)$ and longitudinal $(79^{\circ}3'17"W-98^{\circ}9'48"W)$ portion of the introduced range of *H. turcicus* (Fig. 1). We tested for differences in HL, HW, HD, and SVL between male and females using a student's t-test or a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test (Sokal and Rohlf 2012). We tested all data for normality with either a Shapiro-Wilk or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

We used regression analyses to evaluate the potential significance of latitudinal and longitudinal trends on SVL, HL, HW, and HD. Longitude and latitude was expressed in decimal degree notation rounded to the nearest 0.1°. If latitude or longitude had some predictive relationship with the morphological variables of interest, we performed a t-test to compare the slopes of males and females using an F-test to determine significance differences in slopes (Sokal and Rohlf 2012). We used JMP Pro Ver. 11 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) for all tests and we determined statistical significance with an $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

We found significant differences in SVL (U = 3018.5; P < 0.01), HL (t = 4.27; P < 0.01), HW (t = 7.23; P < 0.01), and HD (t = 6.14; P < 0.01) between male and female *H. turcicus* (Table 1). While significant differences were observed in SSD between males and

females across the entire sample range, there were no significant relationships observed between latitude and any of the morphological variables (Fig. 2). Similarly, we did not find significant relationships between HL and longitude for either sex (Fig. 3). In contrast, male HD significantly decreased ($F_{2,184} = 5.33; P = 0.02$) as longitude decreases towards the prime meridian (although the relationship was weak; $r^2 = 0.07$), but there was no significant relationship in females (Fig 3). Male HW was not significantly different across longitude, although female HW increased significantly as longitude decreases towards the prime meridian ($F_{2,184} = 4.09$; P =0.05), also a very weak relationship ($r^2 = 0.03$; Fig 3). Total SVL increased significantly in both males ($F_{2.184}$ = 7.31; P < 0.01; $r^2 = 0.10$) and females ($F_{2,184} = 5.81$; P =0.02, $r^2 = 0.05$) as longitude decreases towards the prime meridian (Fig 3), but there was no significant difference between the slopes of males and females (t = 0.11; P =0.91).

DISCUSSION

Hemidactylus turcicus exhibited significant maledominated SSD in head shape across its nonindigenous range in the southeastern United States. This is in accordance with previously conducted studies (Saenz and Conner 1996; Johnson et al. 2005), and is thought to be attributed to sexual selection or resource defense where males with larger heads are more successful during intrasexual encounters (Saenz and Conner 1996; Smith et al. 1997; Olsson et al. 2002; Johnson et al. 2005; Gifford and Powell 2007). The consistency of this finding suggests that selection for male-biased SSD in head shape is a phenotypically constrained feature that does not appear to vary among local populations. This does not appear to be the case for overall body length. As suggested by Saenz and Conner (1996) and Johnson et al. (2005), H. turcicus demonstrates male-biased SSD in head shape, but not SVL. While this finding is generally consistent within squamates, the sample used by Saenz and Conner (1996) and Johnson et al. (2005) was from a highly localized population in Texas (campus of Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, Texas; 31°37'21"N, 94°38'63"W). Our analysis of a much larger geographic range suggests that H. turcicus demonstrates male-biased SSD in body length as well as overall head shape, potentially



FIGURE 2. Relationship of snout-vent length (A), head length (B), head width (C), and head depth (D) of the Mediterranean House Gecko (*Hemidactylus turcicus*) to latitude ($^{\circ}$) in the southeastern United States.

suggesting differences in morphology between local populations. A future analysis between this dataset and that of Saenz and Conner (1996) and Johnson et al. (2005) may prove valuable to test this hypothesis.

The patterns of longitudinal variation observed in this study likely represent either patterns of local variation, or unforeseen bias within the sample, rather than actual geographic trends. This conservative interpretation is based on the high degree of morphological variation observed within a very small longitudinal range, suggesting that the patterns we are detecting are statistically significant but are unlikely to be biologically meaningful. Our data show that: (1) western males have deeper heads than eastern males; (2) eastern females have wider heads than western females; and (3) both males and females are longer in the east than in the west), but these finding should be interpreted with caution. A more detailed study incorporating more specimens from the western extent of the introduced range may elucidate these patterns further. There was no



FIGURE 3. Relationship of snout-vent length (A), head length (B), head width (C), and head depth (D) of the Mediterranean House Gecko (*Hemidactylus turcicus*) to longitude (°) in the southeastern United States.

significant relationship between SSD and geographic latitude. These data provide no evidence for patterns associated with Bergmann's rule. Some animals seem to follow this rule or the inverse, and the type and degree of concordance with this rule can vary within families (Ashton and Feldman 2003; Meiri and Dayan 2003; Angilletta et al. 2004; Blackburn and Hawkins 2004; Olalla-Tárraga et al. 2006). Our data are in accordance with work by Newman (1953), Geist (1987), Ashton et al. (2000), Meiri and Dayan (2003), Millien et al. (2006),

and Adams and Church (2008) that suggest that certain taxa do not strictly follow the predictions of Bergmann's rule, and caution should be exercised when making broad interpretations about the patterns of morphological change across geographic gradients. The lack of any correlation between SSD and latitude in *H. turcicus* may stem from a variety of reasons. *Hemidactylus turcicus* is a hardy and virulent species and morphological variation in SSD may not be affected by colder climatic conditions. Also, the necessity for male *H. turcicus* to reproduce may limit energy allocation towards other lifehistory variables (i.e., longevity, fecundity, offspring survivorship, etc.). In addition, the native range of H. *turcicus* corresponds to a much larger latitudinal gradient (see Moravec et al. 2011 for a recent taxonomic revision) than does the non-native range and the nonnative range may have more favorable climatic conditions for H. turcicus than does the native range, suggesting that the introduced populations may be under lower metabolic stress than their native counterparts. Finally, the introduction of H. turcicus into the southeastern United States is a relatively recent introduction, and patterns of morphological variation may not yet be visible. Further studies should be conducted to explore the causal agents of this study's findings.

Acknowledgments.—Research was funded by the Force and Motion Foundation. For access to specimens, we thank Jeffery C. Beane (North Carolina State Museum). We thank Katie Overbey for helpful comments that improved the overall quality of this manuscript. The authors report no conflict of interest.

LITERATURE CITED

- Adams, D.C, and J.O. Church. 2008. Amphibians do not follow Bergmann's rule. Evolution 62:413–420.
- Angilletta, Jr., M.J., P.H. Niewiarowski, A.E. Dunham, A.D. Leaché, and W.P. Porter. 2004. Bergmann's clines in ectotherms: illustrating a life history perspective with sceloporine lizards. The American Naturalist 164:168–183.
- Ashton, K.G. 2002. Patterns of within species body size variation of birds: strong evidence for Bergmann's rule. Global Ecology and Biogeography 11:505–523.
- Ashton, K.G., and C.R. Feldman. 2003. Bergmann's rule in nonavian reptiles: turtles follow it, lizards and snakes reverse it. Evolution 57:1151–1163.
- Ashton, K.G., M.C. Tracy, and A. de Queiroz. 2000. Is Bergmann's rule valid for mammals? The American Naturalist 156:390–415.
- Bergmann, C. 1847. Uber die Verhaltnisse der Warmeokonomie der Thiere zu ihrer Grosse. Gottinger Studien.
- Blackburn, T.M., and B.A. Hawkins. 2004. Bergmann's rule and the mammal fauna of northern North America. Ecography 27:715–724.
- Bullock, T.H. 1955. Compensation for temperature in the metabolism and activity of poikilotherms. Biological Reviews 30:311–342.
- Davies, P.M.C., J.W. Patterson, and E.L. Bennett. 1981. Metabolic coping strategies in cold tolerant reptiles. Journal of Thermal Biology 6:321–330.

- maintain larger body proportions to successfully reproduce may limit energy allocation towards other lifehistory variables (i.e., longevity, fecundity, offspring Takydromus septentrionalis. Oikos 112:363–369.
 - Ford, N.B., and R.A. Seigel. 1989. Phenotypic plasticity in reproductive traits: evidence from a viviparous snake. Ecology 70:1768–1774.
 - Fowler, H.W. 1915. Cold-blooded vertebrates from Florida, the West Indies, Costa Rica, and eastern Brazil. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 67:244–269.
 - Fry, F.E. 1967. Thermobiology. Academic Press, New York, New York, USA.
 - Geist, V. 1987. Bergmann's rule is invalid. Canadian Journal of Zoology 65:1035–1038.
 - Gifford, M.E., and R. Powell. 2007. Sexual dimorphism and reproductive characteristics in five species of *Leiocephalus* lizards from the Dominican Republic. Journal of Herpetology 42:521–527.
 - Hazel, J.R., and C.L. Prosser. 1974. Molecular mechanisms of temperature compensation in poikilotherms. Physiological Reviews 54:620–677.
 - Howard, R.D. 1981. Sexual dimorphism in bullfrogs. Ecology 62:303–310.
 - Johnson, J.B., L.D. McBrayer, and D. Saenz. 2005. Allometry, sexual size dimorphism, and niche partitioning in the Mediterranean Gecko (*Hemidactylus turcicus*). The Southwestern Naturalist 50:435–439.
 - Knight, C.M. 1993. A northern range extension of *Hemidactylus turcicus* in the United States. Dactylus 2:49–50.
 - Kraus, F. 2008. Alien Reptiles and Amphibians: A Scientific Compendium and Analysis. Invading Nature: Springer Series in Invasion Biology, 4. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
 - Losos, J.B., D.A. Creer, D. Glossip, R. Goellner, A. Hampton, G. Roberts, N. Haskell, P. Taylor, and J. Etling. 2000. Evolutionary implications of phenotypic plasticity in the hindlimb of the lizard *Anolis sagrei*. Evolution 54:301–305.
 - Madsen, T., and R. Shine. 1993. Phenotypic plasticity in body sizes and sexual size dimorphism in European grass snakes. Evolution 47:321–325.
 - McGarrity, M.E., and S.A. Johnson. 2009. Geographic trend in sexual size dimorphism and body size of *Osteopilus septentrionalis* (Cuban Treefrog): implications for invasion of the southeastern United States. Biological invasions 11:1411–1420.
 - Meiri, S., and T. Dayan. 2003. On the validity of Bergmann's rule. Journal of Biogeography 30:331–351.
 - Meshaka, Jr., W.E. 2001. The Cuban Tree Frog in Florida. Life History of a Successful Colonizing Species. University Press of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.

- Millien, V., S.K. Lyons, L. Olson, F.A. Smith, A.B. Wilson, and Y. Yom-Tov. 2006. Ecotypic variation in the context of global climate change: revisiting the rules. Ecology Letters 9:853–869.
- Monnet, J.-M., and M.I. Cherry. 2002. Sexual size dimorphism in anurans. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 269:2301–2307.
- Moravec, J.I., L. Kratochvíl, Z.S. Amr, D. Jandzik, J. Šmíd, and V. Gvoždík. 2011. High genetic differentiation within the *Hemidactylus turcicus* complex (Reptilia: Gekkonidae) in the Levant, with comments on the phylogeny and systematics of the genus. Zootaxa 2894:21–38.
- Mousseau, T.A. 1997. Ectotherms follow the converse to Bergmann's rule. Evolution 51:630–632.
- Newell, R.C. 1966. Effect of temperature on the metabolism of poikilotherms. Nature 212:426–428.
- Newman, M.T. 1953. The application of ecological rules to the racial anthropology of the aboriginal New World. American Anthropologist 55:311–327.
- Olalla-Tárraga, M.Á., and M.Á. Rodríguez. 2007. Energy and interspecific body size patterns of amphibian faunas in Europe and North America: anurans follow Bergmann's rule, urodeles its converse. Global Ecology and Biogeography 16:606– 617.
- Olalla-Tárraga, M.Á., M.Á. Rodríguez, and B.A. Hawkins. 2006. Broad-scale patterns of body size in squamate reptiles of Europe and North America. Journal of Biogeography 33:781–783.
- Olsson, M., R. Shine, E. Wapstra, B. Ujvari, and T. Madsen. 2002. Sexual dimorphism in lizard body shape: the roles of sexual selection and fecundity selection. Evolution 2002:1538–1542.
- Queral-Regil, A., and R.B. King. 1998. Evidence for phenotypic plasticity in snake body size and relative head dimensions in response to amount and size of prey. Copeia 1998:423–429.
- Rhen, T., and J.W. Lang. 1995. Phenotypic plasticity for growth in the Common Snapping Turtle: effects of incubation temperature, clutch, and their interaction. The American Naturalist 146:726–747.
- Saenz, D., and R.N. Conner. 1996. Sexual dimorphism in head size of the Mediterranean Gecko *Hemidactylus turcicus* (Sauria: Gekkonidae). Texas Journal of Science 48:207–212.
- Sears, M.W., and M.J. Angilletta, Jr. 2004. Body size clines in *Sceloporus* lizards: proximate mechanisms and demographic constraints. Integrative and Comparative Biology 44:433–442.
- Seebacher, F. 2005. A review of thermoregulation and physiological performance in reptiles: what is the role of phenotypic flexibility? Journal of Comparative Physiology B 175:453–461.
- Seigel, R.A., and N.B. Ford. 1991. Phenotypic plasticity in the reproductive characteristics of an oviparous

snake, *Elaphe guttata*: implications for life history studies. Herpetologica 47:301–307.

- Selcer, K.W. 1986. Life history of a successful colonizer: the Mediterranean Gecko, *Hemidactylus turcicus*, in southern Texas. Copeia 1986:956–962.
- Shine, R. 1979. Sexual selection and sexual dimorphism in the Amphibia. Copeia 1979:297–306.
- Smith, G.R., J.A, Lemos-Espinal, and R.E. Ballinger. 1997. Sexual dimorphism in two species of knobscaled lizards (genus *Xenosaurus*) from Mexico. Herpetologica 53:200–205.
- Smith, H.M., and A.J. Kohler. 1977. A survey of herpetological introductions in the United States and Canada. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science 80:1–24.
- Sokal, R.R., and F.J. Rohlf . 2012. Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research. W.H. Freeman, New York, New York, USA.
- Thomas, T.M., M.C. Granatosky, J.R. Bourque, K.L. Krysko, P.E. Moler, T. Gamble, E. Suarez, E. Leone, and J. Roman. 2014. Taxonomic assessment of Alligator Snapping Turtles (Chelydridae: *Macrochelys*), with the description of two new species from the southeastern United States. Zootaxa 3786:141–165.
- Vargas-Salinas, F. 2006. Sexual size dimorphism in the Cuban Treefrog Osteopilus septentrionalis. Amphibia-Reptilia 27:419–426.
- Walters, R.J., and M. Hassall. 2006. The temperaturesize rule in ectotherms: may a general explanation exist after all? The American Naturalist 167:510–523.
- Wapstra, E. 2000. Maternal basking opportunity affects juvenile phenotype in a viviparous lizard. Functional Ecology 14:345–352.



MICHAEL C. GRANATOSKY is a Doctoral Candidate at Duke University in the Department of Evolutionary Anthropology. His research interests focuses on animal locomotion, specifically how an animal adjusts when switching from one form of locomotion to another. Additionally, Michael has a keen interest in exotic ecology and trying to determine aspects of the biology of invasive species that make them more virulent in their introduced range. Michael began herpetological research at the Florida Museum of Natural History where he worked on projects related to exotic ecology, taxonomy, and functional morphology. His work has led to the discovery of several new introduced species in Florida, a more detailed analysis of the diet of the invasive Burmese Python (Python bivittatus) and the description of two new species of alligator snapping turtles (Macrochelys suwanniensis and M. apalachicolae; Thomas et al. 2014). Upon moving to Duke University, he has worked closely with the North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences reporting on and collecting newly introduced reptile and amphibian species within the state. His eventual goal is to further this partnership by creating an early detection program to help limit the spread of exotic herpetofauna within North Carolina. (Photographed by David Haring).



KENNETH L. KRYSKO (center, holding the fish) is a Research Scientist in the Division of Herpetology, Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida (UF). He also holds courtesy faculty appointments in the departments of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation as well as the School of Natural Resources and the Environment at UF. He teaches Invasion Ecology, Herpetology, and related courses when he feels like it. His students think that he is tough on them at times, but he believes it is for their own good. On many occasions he would rather be on the shallow crystal clear water flats fishing in remote areas of The Bahamas or Florida Keys. He loves his wonderful wife and appreciates that she enjoys being in the hot sun conducting field work with him. (Photographed by Claudia Mackenzie-Krysko).

APPENDIX 1. Specimens examined for in this study. Specimens provided by the North Carolina State Museum (NCSM) and the Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida (UF-Herpetology).

State	Accession Number		
Arkansas	UF 141606-07		
Florida	NCSM 17972, 52968, 70667, UF 616, 7096, 9283-01, 9283-03, 9283-06-08, 9283-10, 9283-13-14, 9283-17,		
	9283-19, 9283-22-24, 9283-26, 9283-28, 9283-32-34, 40647-48, 40650, 66941, 69311, 69313, 71520-21, 71523,		
	73734, 73736-37, 74994, 82821, 86816, 86875-76, 86879, 86881-82, 87703-06, 87725-29, 87818-20, 87830,		
	87833, 87835-38, 87840, 89632, 89634, 89636, 89640-41, 89646, 89654, 91969, 98749, 99770, 104899,		
	115970, 117754, 120792, 121185-86, 124750, 126835, 126838, 127130, 128025, 128028, 133844-46, 133934,		
	138218, 139397, 141253, 141618, 141643, 143207-08, 143209, 144188, 151216, 153460-61, 158564-65,		
	158567-68, 158570-77, 158581-90, 158592-93, 158597-99, 158602, 158604, 158607-08, 158611, 158613,		
	158615-16, 158619-22, 158624-25, 158628, 158631, 158634, 158636-37, 162963-65, 165652		
Georgia	UF 168506-08		
Louisiana	NCSM 7304-05		
Mississippi	NCSM 73769-70, UF 133859-60		
North Carolina	UF 171920		
Texas	NCSM 5017-22, 70776, 70779-80, UF 74995, 48540-41, 126840-41, 126843-45, 126847-48, 126851-52		